Mapping MODS to RDF: Recommendations & Strategies

Samvera MODS and RDF Descriptive Metadata Subgroup SamveraConnect 2018

Eben English, Emily Porter

Draft Recommendations Available!

MODS to RDF Mapping Recommendations

- Application profile providing recommendations for mapping MODS XML metadata for digital objects to RDF Linked Data classes and properties
- Released May 2018
- Document Status: v.0.1 Draft for Review & Comment

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to:

Attendance/contributions from:

- Steven Anderson
- Julie Hardesty
- Danny Pucci
- Simon O'Riordan
- Kate Gerrity
- Sara Rubinow
- Shawn Averkamp
- Melanie Wacker

- Amherst College
- Boston College
- Boston Public Library
- Columbia University
- Data Curation Experts
- Emory University
- Indiana University
- New York Public Library
- Northwestern University
- University of Alberta Libraries
- University of Connecticut

- University of Maryland Libraries
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- University of Prince Edward Island
- University of Tennessee
- USDA/National Agricultural Library
- WGBH
- York University

Background and Need

- 2015: Many Fedora 3 institutions hindered by needing to migrate complex MODS XML metadata to RDF for Fedora 4
- "MODSpocalypse" reference in recently awarded <u>IMLS grant narrative</u>
- "Just use DC"; "don't use MODS"; "don't use complex/nested metadata"
- State of transition: MODS RDF Ontology, BIBFRAME, other related vocabularies, MODS itself?
- General trend toward deprecating XML-based approaches in Samvera stack (e.g. <u>OM gem</u>)

No clear path forward: community effort to identify approaches to a complex problem

Scope and Constraints

Primary goal: Migrate our legacy MODS XML metadata into RDF for a Fedora4/Samvera-based digital repository

- Repository application functionality and models must be custom-developed to support local Metadata Application Profiles when migrating MODS
- Not all participants are configuring an additional triple store for Fedora or exposing data as LOD: MODS to RDF may be a one-way trip... (import vs. export)
- Tensions between metadata standards' best practices, LOD best practices, and local repository system implementation realities
- (Most of us aren't ontologists or software engineers!)

Strategy

- Not creating a new ontology from the ground up
- Not using MODS RDF
- Leverage multiple established namespaces instead
 - "Vocabularies get their value from re-use"
 - The more widely used, the better
 - Not putting all the eggs in one basket
 - Dublin Core, BIBFRAME, id.loc.gov, Schema.org, FOAF, SKOS, BIBO, RDA, etc.

Work Process

- Open, revolving membership from 30+ organizations
- Biweekly meetings for 3+ years
- Worked through local examples for MODS XML elements
- Use cases, mappings, iterations, community polls
- <u>Recommendations</u> drafts and refinement
- Review from broader metadata community (beyond Samvera): MODS, DC, BIBFRAME, DLF, others

Framing Questions:

If you had to migrate to RDF today, how would you map this element?

What can we live without?

Along the Way: Gaps & Gotchas

- Inherently "lossy" process
 - not all MODS elements, attributes mapped
- Variability of MODS itself
 - @type; @displayLabel
- Inconsistent data models
 - across the metadata community
 - within individual institutions' repository applications

More Gaps & Gotchas

- Repository-contextual gaps in existing vocabularies
 - new predicates needed
- Changes to major ontologies since 2015
 BIBFRAME 1.0 -> 2.0
- Assessing stability, status, and usage of vocabularies
 - manual contact process
 - LofC relators, BIBO, bibliotek-o

Fedora 4/Samvera-Specific Concerns

- Support for blank nodes, hierarchical/nested metadata unclear
- Common predicates already in use by Samvera software for other contexts
 - Fedora, PCDM, Hyrax, Samvera Technical MAP
 - e.g. <u>isPartOf</u>
- Fedora migration utilities and other approaches (Julie Hardesty, OR 2016)
- Variability of local data models & metadata application profiles

XML:

<mods:note>Hello, world!</mods:note>

XML:

<mods:note>Hello, world!</mods:note>

RDF:

<http://myrepo.org/items/1> skos:note "Hello, world!" .

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

RDF:

• Find a predicate the represents concept of "note about date"?

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

RDF:

```
<http://myrepo.org/items/1>
```

rdau:noteOnProductionStatement "Undated" .

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

RDF:

• Add the note type to the value?

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

RDF:

<http://myrepo.org/items/1> skos:note "Date: Undated" .

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

RDF:

• Create a new object to encompass the information?

XML:

<mods:note type="date">Undated</mods:note>

RDF:

<http://myrepo.org/items/1> bf:note <http://myrepo.org/notes/1> .

<http://myrepo.org/notes/1> a bf:Note ;

bf:noteType "date" ;

rdfs:label "Undated" .

XML:

<mods:name type="personal"> <mods:originInfo eventType="publication"> <mods:titleInfo type="translated" supplied="yes"> <mods:identifier type="local-accession" invalid="yes"> <mods:relatedItem type="series" displayLabel="Archival">

... and so on.

Choose Your Own Adventure

We decided to produce two different options:

- 1. Direct Mapping (Simple Option)
- 2. Minted Object Mappings (Complex Option)

Simple Mapping Approach

FEATURES

- Flatter/simpler approach
- Easier to provision as additional properties on common "work" entities (does not require additional data model entities)
- More accommodating of legacy data in literals/strings

GAPS

- Lossier option
- Less aligned with Linked Open Data best practices - potentially poorer semantics
- More reliance on external authority entities outside your local repository application

Minted Object Approach

FEATURES

- Greater MODS fidelity (less data loss)
- More LOD/URI-friendly less strings, more re-usable data
- Richer semantics
- Ability to support more bibliographic vocabulary ranges (e.g. BIBFRAME)

GAPS

- Increased complexity
- Requires local applications to create and maintain data model entities for concept objects:
 - Titles, People/Agents, Collections, Subjects, Places, Notes, etc.
- Performance concerns, if minting many Fedora objects?

Recommendations: Walk-through

MODS to RDF Mapping Recommendations v.0.1

Next Steps: Recommendations

• Finalize revisions

• Request predicates

- Samvera URI Selection Working Group
- Vocabulary Manager application not yet in development?

• Publish v.1.0

Next Steps: Migration

github.com/boston-library/mods2rdf

- Rails app
- convert MODS XML to RDF
- create Fedora objects
- orphaned project
- product owners / maintainers welcome!

Next Steps: Implementation

- Current Hyrax metadata is very basic
 - Difficult to use something besides BasicMetadata
 - Metadata IG review underway
- Support for blank nodes, nested objects, or complex linking between objects:
 - Technically possible
 - Feasibility / performance
 - Lack of documentation

Next Steps: Implementation

- Need for more detailed descriptive metadata
- Doesn't have to be "MODS"
- Modular, gem-based approach (AdvancedMetadata?)
- Include sub-modules in models as needed

Next Steps: Implementation

class MyWorkType < ActiveFedora::Base
include ::Hyrax::WorkBehavior</pre>

include AdvancedMetadata::Minted::OriginMetadata include AdvancedMetadata::Minted::SubjectMetadata include AdvancedMetadata::Direct::NoteMetadata include AdvancedMetadata::Minted::RecordInfoMetatada

###

end

Questions?

MODS2RDF Group mods2rdf [at] gmail [dot] com

Emily Porter @porterweb eporter [at] emory [dot] edu

Eben English @ebenenglish eenglish [at] bpl [dot] org

Things we didn't attempt to map

- <mods:part>
- <mods:extension>
- All possible MODS XML sub-elements
- All possible MODS XML attributes
- Additional use cases not identified through Working Group participants

Conceptual Gaps - Predicate Hunting

Dear URI Selection WG, I am writing on behalf of...

- Extent predicates, e.g. measurements/dimensions (DC requires URIs...)
- Conference/Meeting/Event Names (a work presented as part of, not *at* a location)
- Describing individual articles/chapters within a parent work (conflicting approaches to semantics)
- digitalOrigin (digitized or born digital)
- Accession numbers, barcodes, Shelf Locator info (varieties of local identifiers)
- Name order (a known concern...)
- Types of series (archival vs commercial) and Collections (primary/physical/virtual)
- Types of notes (public facing vs. staff facing)